



National Transportation Safety Board

The NTSB Mission to Enhance Transportation Safety: Investigations, Recommendations, and Advocacy

Mark R. Rosekind, Ph.D.
Board Member

Aviation Department
Utah Valley University
February 26, 2014

Federal Agencies: Transportation

NTSB

FMCSA

FRA

NHTSA

PHMSA

DOT

MARAD

FTA

FHWA

FAA



NTSB



NTSB



- 1) determining the probable cause of transportation accidents**
- 2) making recommendations to prevent their recurrence**





All Modes



NTSB

PG&E/San Bruno Gas Pipeline Explosion

- 8 fatalities
- 10 serious injuries
- 48 minor injuries



- 108 homes affected
 - 38 destroyed
 - 17 sev - mod damage
 - 53 minor damage




NTSB

Independent Federal Agency: Created in 1967


- ~ 132,000 accident investigations
- 13,500+ safety recommendations
- ~ 2,500 organizations/recipients
- 82% acceptance rate



13,454 Safety Recommendations issued since 1967



“Swiss Cheese” Model (Reason)



Successive layers of defenses, barriers, and safeguards

Asiana 214 (July 6, 2013)

San Francisco, CA (SFO)



NTSB

NTSB Go Team: 24/7/365

- Individual investigator
- Regional/limited team
- Major launch/Board Member



Key On-scene Events



Organizational Meeting

- Designate parties and party coordinators
- Establish and organize groups

Progress Meetings

- Summarize findings
- Info for briefings

Family Briefings

Press Briefings



NTSB Investigative Process



On-scene Investigation

Organizational Meeting
Groups and Parties
Progress meetings
Media Briefings
Press Releases



Preliminary Report

Factual information



Public Hearing

Fact finding
Depositions
Witnesses
Docket



Board Meeting

Docket
Findings
Conclusions
Probable Cause
Safety Recommendations




Final Report

Government in the Sunshine Act



NTSB

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD



NTSB: The Board

- Five Members:
 - President nominates
 - Senate confirms



Mark Rosekind
Member



Chris Hart
Vice Chairman



Debbie Hersman
Chairman



Robert Sumwalt
Member



Earl Weener
Member



NTSB Characterized as:

‘moral compass and industry conscience’

NTSB Chairman Deborah A.P. Hersman




NTSB

MOST WANTED LIST

The Most Wanted List represents the NTSB's advocacy priorities. It is designed to increase awareness of, and support for, the most critical changes needed to reduce transportation accidents and save lives.

General Aviation: Identify and Communicate Hazardous Weather



- Address Unique Characteristics of Helicopter Operations
- Advance Passenger Vessel Safety
- Eliminate Distraction in Transportation
- Eliminate Substance-Impaired Driving
- Enhance Pipeline Safety
- Improve Fire Safety in Transportation
- General Aviation: Identify and Communicate Hazardous Weather
- Implement Positive Train Control Systems
- Promote Operational Safety in Rail Mass Transit
- Strengthen Occupant Protection in Transportation



What is General Aviation?




NTSB

Pilots, Aircraft, and Flight Activity (Estimates)

- 55,000 new student pilot certificates issued (2011)
- 97,000 active flight instructors
- 617,000 active pilots
- 215,000 aircraft active in GA
 - 155,000 of those are fixed-wing, piston-powered
- 21.7 million hours flown in 2010
 - 10.4 million hours were personal/business flights



Geographic Distribution of Accidents



Personal Flying Defining Events

Number of Fatal Accidents





NTSB SAFETY ALERT

National Transportation Safety Board

★ In-Cockpit NEXRAD Mosaic Imagery ★

*Actual Age of NEXRAD Data Can Differ Significantly
From Age Indicated on Display*

The Problem

- Weather radar "mosaic" imagery created from Next Generation Radar (NEXRAD) data is available to pilots in the cockpit via the flight information service broadcast (FIS-B) and private satellite weather service providers.
- A mosaic image presents radar data from multiple radar ground sites on a single image on the cockpit display. When a mosaic image is updated, it may not contain new information from each ground site.
- The age indicator associated with the age of the data. Instead, the age indicator service provides weather data that is older than the age indicator.
- Due to latencies inherent from the ground site to the mosaic creation process, the age indicator can significantly lag the time of the data.
- Although such situations, mosaic creation processes can EXCEED the age indicator.
- Even small time differences important for safety of flight, quickly develop.

*Actual mosaic age differences can



NTSB SAFETY ALERT

National Transportation Safety Board

★ Meteorological Evaluation Towers

*Pilots urged to be vigilant for
Meteorological Evaluation Towers*

The Problem

- Meteorological Evaluation Towers (METs) are used to measure wind speed and direction during the development of wind energy conversion facilities. METs are made from galvanized tubing (or other galvanized structure) with a diameter of 6 to 8 inches and are secured with guy wires that extend at multiple heights on the MET and anchor on the ground.
- Many METs fall just below the 200-foot Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) threshold for obstruction markings. They can also be erected quickly and without notice to the local aviation community, depending upon their location.
- Because of their size and color, pilots have reported difficulty seeing METs from the air. Therefore, METs could interfere with low-flying aircraft operations, including those involving helicopter emergency medical services, law enforcement, animal damage control, fish and wildlife, agriculture, and aerial fire suppression.
- The NTSB has investigated several fatal accidents involving aircraft collisions with METs:
 - On January 10, 2011, a Rockwell International S-2R, N4077X, collided with a MET during an aerial application in Oakley, California.
 - On May 19, 2005, an Air Tractor AT-602, N90172, collided with a MET that was erected 15 days before the accident in Ralls, Texas.
 - On December 15, 2003, an Erickson SHK Global, N434BW, collided with a MET near Vanaville, Oregon.
- While Wyoming and South Dakota have implemented requirements for METs to improve the safety of low-flying aircraft, not all states have such requirements for METs. (Wyoming maintains an online database of METs and requires all METs to be registered and marked so that they are visible from a distance of 2,000 feet. South Dakota requires that METs be marked.)

General Aviation (GA) Safety Alerts

March 12, 2013



NTSB

GA Safety Alerts

- Define a GA safety problem
- Provide statistics on the problem
- Provide examples of accidents
- Provide ways to prevent accidents



GA Safety Alert Topics

- Aerodynamic stalls at low altitude
- Reduced-visual references
- Aircraft mechanical problems
- Pilots' risk management
- Mechanics' risk management



**GA Safety Alert:
“Prevent Aerodynamic Stalls
at Low Altitude”**





Stall/Spin After Takeoff Accident

Chris Shaver - IIC



NTSB



Stall in Airport Traffic Pattern

Jennifer Rodi - IIC



NTSB



Aerodynamic Stall During Maneuvers

Craig Hatch - IIC



NTSB

What can pilots do?

- Seek training to fully understand stall phenomenon and AOA concepts
- Remember that a stall can occur at any airspeed, in any attitude, and at any engine power setting



What can pilots do?

- Remember that maneuvering loads, other factors increase stall speed
- Reduce AOA at first indication of stall – it's the most important immediate response



What can pilots do?

- Manage distractions when maneuvering at low altitude
- Resist temptation to “show off”
- Understand that stall characteristics can differ substantially between airplanes



GA Safety Alert Topics

- "Armed" for Safety: Emergency Locator Transmitters (SA-030)
- Engine Power Loss Due to Carburetor Icing (SA-029)
- Proper Use of Fiber or Nylon Self-Locking Nuts (SA-028)
- Check Your Restraints (SA-027)
- All Secure, All Clear (SA-026)
- Avoid Nonoperational Use of Portable Electronic Devices (PEDs) Before and During Flight (SA-025)



Go! Flight 1002



- early starts, multiple segment days, sleep apnea



NTSB

Honorable John K. Lauber:

No Accident \neq
Safe Operation



NTSB

Owatonna, MN (July 31, 2008)



8 fatalities



NTSB

Owatonna Crew Fatigue Factors

- acute sleep loss (Capt/FO)
- cumulative sleep debt (FO)
- early start time (Capt/FO)
- excessive sleep need (Capt)
- insomnia (FO)
- self-medicate/prescription sleep med (FO)



Probable Cause/Contributing Factors

“Contributing to the accident were . . .
(2) fatigue, which likely impaired both
pilots’ performance; . . .”



GA Accident: GULF OF MEXICO (February 17, 1994)

THE PILOT FELL ASLEEP WHILE ENROUTE FROM SPRINGFIELD, KY TO CROSSVILLE, TN WHEN HE AWOKE 5 HOURS LATER HE FOUND THAT HE WAS OVER THE GULF OF MEXICO, 210 MILES SOUTH OF PANAMA CITY, FL, AND HAD ONLY 20 MINUTES OF FUEL REMAINING. HE DECLARED MAYDAY ON 121.5 AND WAS ASSISTED BY COAST GUARD AND AIR FORCE AIRCRAFT. THEY DIRECTED HIM TO THE NEAREST AIRPORT, ST. PETERSBURG, FL WHILE ENROUTE TO THE AIRPORT THE ENGINES QUIT DUE TO FUEL EXHAUSTION AND THE AIRCRAFT WAS DITCHED, 70 MILES WEST OF ST. PETERSBURG. HE WAS RESCUED BY A COAST GUARD HELICOPTER.



GA Accident: GULF OF MEXICO (February 17, 1994)

- The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be:

THE PILOT'S PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION (FAILURE TO REMAIN AWAKE) RESULTING IN EXTENDED FLIGHT OVER WATER FOLLOWED BY FUEL EXHAUSTION, TOTAL LOSS OF ENGINE POWER, AND DITCHING BEFORE RETURNING TO LAND.



Challenges of a 24/7 Society




NTSB

Four Fatigue Factors +

- Sleep loss
- Continuous hours of wakefulness
- Circadian/time of day
- Sleep disorders
- Other considerations



Fatigue Risks



Fatigue Risks

- degraded 20 – 50%+:


- reaction time
- judgment
- memory
- attention
- communication
- mood
- situational awareness

- increased:


- irritability
- attentional lapses
- apathy
- microsleeps



Fatigue and Reaction Times



Alertness Reports Often Inaccurate



Adapted from Sasaki et al., 1986



NTSB

www.nts.gov



NTSB



National Transportation Safety Board